

The Board of Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Waveland, Mississippi, met in regular session at the Waveland Civic Center, 335 Coleman Avenue, Waveland, MS on August 17, 2011 at 6:30 p.m., to take action on the following matters of city business.

Present at the meeting were Alderman Geoffrey (serving as Mayor Pro-Tem), Aldermen Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd.

Also present was City Clerk Lisa Planchard and City Attorney Gary Yarborough.

Absent from the meeting was Mayor Garcia due to health issues.

#### **AGENDA- AMEND**

##### **Re: Amend agenda to include the 8/17/11 Addendum to Agenda**

Alderman Lafontaine moved, seconded by Alderman Kidd to amend the agenda to include agenda item numbers 7, 8 & 9.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **COURT DEPARTMENT**

**Re: Court Clerk Paula Fayard was present to discuss and update the Board on collections in the Court Department.**

#### **ALDERMEN'S COMMENTS**

**Re:** Alderman Kidd stated the Board received a good deal of paperwork at the beginning of the meeting and would be looking down throughout the meeting to reference this paperwork; he asked the audience to be patient with the Board.

#### **MINUTES**

##### **Re: Approve the minutes of July 20, 2011**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to approve the minutes of the regular meeting of July 20, 2011 as presented by the City Clerk.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **BUDGET: FY 2011**

##### **Re: Approve Amended 9/30/2011 Budget at 8/17/2011**

Alderman Lafontaine moved, seconded by Alderman Kidd to approve and adopt the FY 2011 Amended Budget, (proposal 4). **(EXHIBIT A)**

Mr. Yarborough asked Comptroller Tom Worrel to explain the difference between the 2 proposed budget amendments and asked that Mr. Worrel not use names of employees that may be cut. He asked that the first proposal submitted by the Mayor be known as budget amendment 3a and the second proposal is one that the Aldermen are proposing asking that budget be known as budget 4. Mr. Worrel said "The Mayor proposes, the difference from last night has a few additional layoffs in two departments. The Aldermen's copy

cut (proposal) four is basically what was discussed last night, what ya'll asked for. The only difference, the biggest difference in that is that the five Police officers and the seven auxiliaries weren't enough to not be scheduled. We had to go to eight patrolmen, that's according to the Police Officers that we have." Mr. Yarborough asked "And you're saying; you said eight patrolmen for clarity, its five fulltime and seven part time." Mr. Worrel answered "No, its eight fulltime Police Officers, two per shift." Alderman Kidd asked about the amount of officers being budgeted for? Mr. Worrel said "To keep two officers per shift, during one pay period is 672 man hours. Five fulltime officers cannot do that and the auxiliaries to be brought in may not want to work from 3 to 7 or whatever, their, their." Alderman Lafontaine said they are speaking of part time employees, and Mrs. Planchard noted this as well. Mr. Yarborough said "And Tiger, the difference between a part time, the obvious difference is when we hire part time people they are working for a reduced salary so when they replace some hours, they are doing it at a lower cost, and there is also no health benefit included within that (inaudible), which is to some extent, the recent hires were done to replace to full time hours and benefits with part time reduced hours and the reduced benefits." Mr. Worrel said "One of the other major differences between the two proposals is in the number 4 (proposal) the employees will be contributing 50% of their major medical costs, and in 3a the employees will be contributing 25%. Alderman Kidd asked if the contribution could go past 50%. Mr. Worrel said "Yes, the only problem is your deducting, its \$412.52 a month out of some guys paychecks that may only be making \$11.64 a month. So, yes you can do that but the chances are you will not have anybody participating in your plan except those people who are highly compensated." Mr. Yarborough said "I had some discussions with the insurance company; we actually have an agent here that does not do our health coverage, but does, is proposing insurance on buildings with Fox Everett, about the possibility of a mid-term, because of the financial situation increasing our deductible across the board, to decrease the amount that we would owe, is basically... the employee's would be self insuring more but may have to contribute less on a month to month basis as far as their health insurance." Alderman Lafontaine asked about the deductible increasing. Mr. Yarborough said "Their deductible would go up, but they would have... is it Dianne, Todd? I'm sorry. Is Todd Dalton here? I believe her name is Dianna." Mrs. Planchard clarified the name as Diane Moore. Mr. Yarborough said "Diane Moore is checking with the underwriters to see what the savings will be on increased deductibles. I'm not going to put Todd on the spot because I don't know if he was involved in those discussions or not, but Dianne on the health benefits; possibility of increasing the deductible. I don't know if you were involved in that discussion or not." Mr. Todd Dalton said that he had not been involved. Alderman Stahler asked about the numbers on the 2 budget summaries. Mr. Worrel said "yes ma'am. Most of the numbers are the exact same, till you get down to the Police Station, the Police and Fire." Again, Alderman Stahler questioned the numbers. Mrs. Planchard asked Mr. Worrel if he had followed through to the second summary. Mr. Worrel said "Correct, City Bond and Interest didn't change, they have no payroll, there's nothing there to cut. Recreation did not change. We did not change their payroll in anyway. The only thing that may have change is the health insurance and the amounts are so small for the remainder for this fiscal year that may only see a hundred dollars difference." Alderman Lafontaine recommended going through the budget Department by Department. Mr. Yarborough said "And if you don't mind, we may allow public input, for now, if the Board since they're just getting familiar, can have a moment to discuss this with the Comptroller."

Mr. Worrel asked "Okay, ya'll gonna look at the detail or you gonna look at the summaries? Alderman Lafontaine asked to look at the detail. Mr. Worrel said "the detail, okay." Audibly Alderman Lafontaine read the numbers. Mr. Yarborough said, "We have the one million four forty eight and Board speaking at the same time". Mr. Worrel said, "The revenue amounts on both versions are the same, there's no change, change starts when you get down into the General Government section." Mr. Yarborough asked, "Other Expenditures." Mr. Worrel answered "Other expenditures, yes". Mr. Yarborough asked "So the revenue, just so everybody is clear is what you are projecting through the fiscal year." Mr. Worrel said, "Correct, what we have received plus what were projecting through September 30<sup>th</sup> 2011. In General Government, the Mayor's version, two Police Officers are being retained and moved into General Government for other duties. In the Aldermen's version or version 4, those Police Officers remain in the Police Department. About the only other difference between the two versions in general, total General Government the Administrative Departments, are the treatment of major medical costs.

The difference is \$103.00 an employee per month and all those costs are shown in the personnel, personnel services.” Alderman Lafontaine read the numbers. Mr. Yarborough said “We have the one million four forty eight, talking about General Government?” Mr. Worrel answered, “Total General Government, yes.” Mr. Yarborough asked “And you mentioned officers, and I believe the Aldermen’s proposal will also include the 8 Officers and plus there would be a Police Chief that’s included?” Mr. Worrel said “That’s down in Public Safety, that’s the next section. Okay? Any questions so far. In Public Safety the version 4 or cut 4, we have a Police Department that contains a Police Chief, and 4 supervisors, Investigators; I don’t know what their exact titles would be. Two of them would be Investigators, they would be retained to clear up all the felonies cases that are currently opened.” Alderman Kidd asked about the pay discussed last evening. Mr. Worrel said “No, these would remain at their existing salaries.” Alderman Kidd reminded Mr. Worrel of the Board’s wishes to reduce some of the salaries being discussed. Mr. Worrel said “Okay, alright the the most that I only heard was that we reduce the patrolmen’s salaries to \$13.50 an hour. I didn’t hear anything about the other Officers. Alderman Lafontaine said they wanted to reduce the 5 full time. Mr. Worrel said “I put em, I left them at their existing salaries. The new Police Chief, ok, was put in at \$50,000.00 per year. I understand that, but if were gonna have a Police Department State Law requires we have a Police Chief.” Alderman Lafontaine discussed the idea of having the County take the Investigations over. Mr. Worrel said “Okay, what we have in the, the uh, Investigators ok, we left it, we left them through to finish up the cases at their existing salaries, ok. I was told this afternoon put in a Police Chief at \$50,000.00. That’s what I did. I don’t know where the information came from, but that’s what.” Mrs. Planchard said they had checked with the Police Department regarding the running of the Department with 5 Officers, they are in need of 8 Police Officers at a minimum to provide work safety to the officers. Alderman Kidd said that his goal was to keep the officers presently on staff and move them to part time. Mr. Worrel said “The Police officers we talked to, said uh, part time Police Officers, you have a hard problem counting on because they have other jobs, full time jobs. And now if in the case where we can find some part time Officers, that’s all their gonna do, is their 32 hours. They, we can go back and talk the uh, the other and find out how can we reschedule them, and reduce the number of full time and use the full time.” Alderman Lafontaine asked about the number of Patrolmen currently on staff. Mr. Yarborough asked “Can you tell us this; let’s, if we finish this thought Tom, if we have 4 Supervisors/Investigators there you have staying in. Are you intending on them staying through the fiscal year or continuing into the next fiscal year?” Mr. Worrel said “Through the end of this fiscal year, that’s all this is for; through the end of the fiscal year.” Mr. Yarborough continued “And I understand it, that’s what this is for, but the Board here is looking at this is in all likelihood, no secret, going to continue for some time. The proposal their looking at are those 4 officers continuing into the next fiscal year, starting October 1?” Mr. Worrel answered, “Two of them would be”. Mr. Yarborough said “Okay and then, okay, so two would be merely to get through the fiscal year end”, Worrel “Right”. Mr. Yarborough continued “And then 2 would be continuing as 2 of the 8 officers that are going to remain employed.” Alderman Stahler questioned the General Government. Mr. Yarborough said “No, this is under ya’ll, the Aldermen’s proposal.” Mr. Worrel said “ At that point it wouldn’t make any difference, because the net out of pocket is gonna be the exact same, whether they’re in General Government or the.” Mr. Yarborough said “I’m not asking, I’m not asking; what I’m asking is, are those 2 of the Officers that are going under the Aldermen’s plan to patrol?” Mr. Worrel said, “No.” Mr. Lafontaine noted the City is currently making due with 6 officers on Patrol with part time. Mr. Worrel said “Okay, the reason is because, the reason is because we’re paying an exorbitant sum of overtime to the 6 full time police officers that we have. We had budgeted a 2% allowance for Police overtime. It’s running 6 to 8 or 10% per pay period. That’s why their doing that. Alderman Lafontaine noted the reason for the part-time officers is to cut down on the overtime. Mr. Worrel said “That’s exactly what they are for, but they’re not being used that way. I don’t know why. They only thing I can tell you is that the Supervising Police Officers told me it’s very difficult to rely on auxiliary Police Officers. They come and go when they, not, I don’t want to say when they wish, but um, you have to go through uh uh a large stack of em before you can find one that’s willing to work the hours that you need them to be there.” (Audience members shouting opinions, Mr. Yarborough asked everyone to hold their comments and speak into the microphone when it is time to allow for public comments). Alderman Lafontaine asked if there were 8 full-time patrolmen budgeted for. Mr. Worrel

said "Eight fulltime Patrolmen." Alderman Stahler added, "a \$50,000.00 per year Police Chief." Mr. Worrel said "and a \$50,000.00 Chief." Mr. Yarborough said "I apologize for the interruption, I'm sorry, sorry for the interruption, the Mayor has asked that I read into the record a letter that he has submitted dated August 17, 2011:

*Dear Constituents:*

*As you are all now aware, the City of Waveland is facing a significant budget shortfall, which is requiring the Board to take swift action. I have submitted to the Board for its consideration a budget proposal to address our financial situation. Unfortunately, because of the dire financial situation, that proposal includes drastic cuts to multiple departments. Included within those cuts is a proposal to the County Board of Supervisors for the Sheriff to act as police chief and control the patrol of the City. It should go without saying, I am not happy that the financial situation is forcing me to make such proposals. Regardless, in my opinion, which was formed with the assistance of numerous state and local financial and auditing agencies, the gravity of the situation requires those actions.*

*While I would not, if the budget did not so require, want to or even consider making the cuts I propose, those are the cuts that best allow this City to function with the highest level of services possible. Also, the apparent public perception of the proposed temporary consolidation of the Waveland Police and Hancock County Sheriff's office unduly minimizes the amount of patrol the City will have. The proposed agreement would have the Sheriff (also acting as police chief) provide two (2) full time officers, per shift, patrolling just the City of Waveland. This show of force equates to what we currently have. Also, those officers would have access to back-up forces the Sheriff has at his disposal. The Sheriff would also provide investigative services, and do these actions, should the Board of Supervisors accept this proposal, without administrative compensation from the City. In short, the Sheriff would perform those functions without additional salary. The effect on police patrol will be nil. The Sheriff has been gracious in his consideration of the City's condition and with his willingness to help; for that, this City should be grateful. Likewise, the City should be grateful to the Board of Supervisors for any consideration they may give to this proposal (should our Board approve it). The County has demonstrated that we are truly a community. For that, I am grateful. My proposal to the Board was not tendered without careful thought. It was formed through meetings with my staff, the City's private auditors, Mississippi State Auditors (sent by the State's administration to help the City resolve its situation), and with MDA, MEMA and FEMA. Those entities and personnel shared their thoughts and recommendations with me and the Aldermen. My recommendations are based largely on recommendations offered during those meetings, all taking into account the need to continue to operate the City with services it can reasonably provide. This is what is needed to reduce the budget for the remainder of the FY 2010-2011, to the extent possible, and to move the City into the upcoming budget of FY 2011-2012.*

*The process of creating this proposal entailed many man hours seeking a solution, and was deliberative. All of that time was spent looking for a solution, which, regardless of the outcome, was not an easy one to propose. The process of choosing among departments and personnel, when the only possible resolutions result in City employees' livelihoods being affected, is not simple, easy and, thus, it is not lightly taken by me or this Board. Unfortunately, the gravity of the City's finances mandates such decisions. My proposal is the only one I believe tenable. Law enforcement is the only service the City can readily contract out to another entity. The proposal would have those officers patrolling our streets in Waveland Police Cars. The only difference would be that they would be wearing a Hancock County Sheriff's Deputy Uniform. They will be cross commissioned, and will enforce local Municipal laws and Ordinances as well as State Laws. This would under our proposal to the County Board of Supervisors allow those patrolmen the authority to run radar in Waveland. The City would continue to collect those proceeds, and its court system shall remain in operation. The officers would also use our current police station as a sub-station, giving a strong police presence here. I ask that you trust in what is being done. This Board is trying to correct and mend problems that were left behind by the previous Administration. I realize that many have hard feelings left by my predecessor; I can understand this. This Board's concern is the financial well-being of this City and the future movement forward. The proposal would be effective for no more than 2 years. This is not a permanent plan of a reduction of the police department; rather, it is a temporary solution to our temporary budget situation.*

*We will resolve this budget, and the City of Waveland will again be financial strong. However, the current financial situation calls for these drastic reductions.*

*Sincerely,*

*David A. Garcia, Mayor*

**(EXHIBIT B)**

Alderman Stahler questioned the salary issues related to cutting the Police Department. Mr. Yarborough said "I believe the Sheriff would perform these, there is no additional salary to the Sheriff." Alderman Geoffrey recognized Investigator David Allen. Mr. Allen said that he thought there were about 9 Patrolmen currently on staff, 2 fulltime Investigators and 2 working Administration. (Inaudible, crowd causing disruption). Mr. Yarborough said "And, I'll tell you, as far as the \$50,000.00 that came from the Mayor to Tom for someone he had in mind, they wouldn't take any less than that. That's where the \$50,000.00 came from. That is, so the Mayor made that request of Tom in the condition you all accept that 4 instead of 3; based on the salary of what the Mayor thinks it would part time on staff? " Eight comes to right at 158 hours a week, so you would have, for sick time, vacation, you would have to have part time on staff to cover those hours, plus part time would be at a lesser salary, but your still going to have to pay that vacation time. Was that included within the budget, Tom?" Mr. Worrel "Yes". Mr. Yarborough asked "How many part time?" Mr. Worrel said, "(inaudible)." Mr. Yarborough asked "How many did you include in the budget? Just so I don't know if everyone heard that, there's 8 fulltime in the budget and there's 8 part time to take up for hours as needed." Alderman Lafontaine asked to move on to the Fire Department. Mr. Worrel said "In the Fire Department, there would be one more fulltime Fireman that would be moved to an Auxiliary spot. Mr. Yarborough asked "That would be the one included in 3a." Mr. Worrel "Correct, yes, there would be 4 fulltime Firemen that would be moved to Auxiliary." Mr. Yarborough "And that's included in both places." Mr. Worrel said, "In both places, yes." Alderman Lafontaine asked if that would be the same, Mr. Worrel said "no, they should not because of the interests. The insurance, the medical insurance is contained in the personnel figures so because of that, that should be pretty much the big difference between those two. You're only loosing \$1,100.00. In protective inspection Department, Building Department, one employee has been moved to Public Works, we would have one Permits Clerk in the Building Department. Now that's in both versions." Mrs. Planchard informed the Board that the clerk would be working in both Departments. Alderman Stahler asked of the minimal savings. Mrs. Planchard explained the difference to Alderman Stahler. Mr. Worrel said, "To the Utility Department". Mrs. Planchard said it was because of the insurance matches. Alderman Lafontaine asked about Public Safety. Mr. Worrel agreed. "I don't see where you get to the (inaudible), okay I'm sorry, I took the wrong place too fast." (Discussion with Mr. Yarborough and the Board) "Mr. Worrel said "Public Works, the Street Department, uh, both versions are directly identical, again, about the only difference is the way is the way the medical insurance is handled. Animal control, we reduced staffing to 2 people, and we will only be open, I can't remember its 4 days a week. Ya'll have any questions on the General Fund?" Alderman Stahler discussed the difference in the funds with Aldermen Lafontaine and Kidd. Alderman Kidd asked about the Animal Shelter funding? Mr. Worrel said, "Yes, It's in both versions." Mr. Yarborough said, "Tom, does the budget include payment of E-911 services." Mr. Worrel "Yes, both versions do contain that." Alderman Stahler asked where, and Mr. Worrel said "That's part of the Police Department budget." Mr. Yarborough said, "I had a meeting with the Board of Supervisors, and I would ask the Board in a different motion to ask to request that the Board of Supervisors give us credit up to the that Bay St. Louis has not paid the County on E-911; we asked to be allowed the same credit, starting from now until the future. If we could have a separate motion to amend the agenda to add that to this request letter to the Board of Supervisors; also to request them separately to the extent they reasonably can, provide assistance with grass cutting and also assistance with investigative and narcotics work. Regardless of any other you know, what budget you choose, I believe that credit would be \$160,000.00 give or take, so you're looking at 16 months worth. (Aldermen speaking) Mr. Worrel said **(BEGIN TAPE 1 SIDE B)** "The Shelter would be the staff of 2 people and it would be open 4 days a week." (Inaudible audience noise) Mr. Worrel asked, "Are there anymore questions on the General Fund?" (Aldermen speaking amongst themselves) Mr. Yarborough said, "If you look on your front page on both proposals. Well let me ask this question first, Tom. What does this bring our monthly revenues to for September, each proposal? I mean monthly



Officer's that were proposing." Alderman Stahler asked if the Officers were retained, it would be \$195,000.00. Mr. Worrel "\$195,000.00?" Alderman Stahler questioned the difference in proposals. Mr. Worrel said, "\$22,000.00, okay". Again Alderman Kidd questioned the unemployment insurance coverage for the laid off employees. Mr. Worrel "Yea, in both versions yes there is. I'm sorry. In both versions, yes". Alderman Kidd again questioned the amount included to cover unemployment. Mr. Worrel "No, because in court, we do have layoffs." The Aldermen questioned the amount of layoffs. Mr. Worrel "No, there's not the same number of personnel that are being laid off, correct." Alderman Kidd asked if it is adjusted in the budget. Mr. Worrel "Yes, they are, each individual Department's got affected. Also, okay, the \$336,000 uh \$366,000 dollars that paid, doesn't start till October first." Alderman Stahler asked about the projection for 2012. Alderman Lafontaine questioned the totals of the Police, Fire Department salaries and docket amount and asked when the next payroll would be due? Mr. Worrel said, "October first. To my knowledge it's October first. September first? September first. Yea, I'm sorry, thank you Gary." Mr. Yarborough said, "That's generally... general payroll is \$86,000.00?" Alderman Lafontaine asked if there were projected revenues between now and the beginning of the month. Mr. Worrel "Yes, we should get some tax, uh some real estate, uh real personal property tax." Mr. Yarborough "That's \$12 to 15 thousand dollars, and then you have September, we should get a slight bump in tax sales, we'll get back about \$25,000.00, give or take, next month. I spoke to Lacey Pittman from MDA we have some tax reimbursement requests on her desk and she's going to get those to us as quickly as possible; usually once she approves it, whatever the amount is, I don't know what the amount is. It usually comes back in 10 to 12 days once she has submitted it to her Supervisor and it comes back. I don't know what the amount of that total is, but she indicated, that we have some; we have FEMA reimbursements out there FEMA is reviewing some of those items, because some were Change Orders, but they have the potential of, ultimately we have the potential of down the road of over a million dollars coming back; it's just a question of that could be 4-6 months of ultimately getting that reimbursement money back. The other issue we have; we have all these FEMA projects; FEMA partially funded projects, we have the City Hall, Annex, Fire Station which is all now lumped into one project which is partially funded by CDBG, and the Police Station which is a multi funded project on those you have to actually come out of pocket with payment to the contractors. Alderman Lafontaine questioned the salaries for Police and Fire salaries. Mr. Yarborough said, "Generally, the 9 day (fire) payroll is about 10-12,000 dollars, the same for the, the bi-month, every two week payroll for the Police Department is about \$34 to 35 thousand dollars' and that's generally, that's currently assuming you know the layoffs could be reduced by the most part, and you might be in a situation where I think, don't they get paid on Monday, next Monday, the Police? Mr. Worrel "The Police do, yes." Mr. Yarborough "So that would be already accrued, probably before; you're probably looking at 30-35 thousand dollars on that Monday payroll with the P.D. That is for next Monday, because they get paid every 2 weeks. We have a general payroll, some employees get paid bi-monthly so the middle of the month, general payroll's a little less, it's about 60, and we have a generally first of the month payroll, that's about \$86,000.00 dollars. And we have what we're looking at on the debt payment, we have in October, you're probably looking at loan of \$600,000.00 or so at least. On your projected revenues for next year you have to pay that back by March 15<sup>th</sup> by State Law, so we would have to work within that budget. Instead the tax money which we would receive, what your probably looking at if you do the \$600,000.00 over the 12 months, that's \$50,000.00 that your going to have to set aside to back that bond note in March; to pay back the loan in March. So you're looking at a reduction of actual disposable revenue, by that \$50,000.00 based on this \$310,000.00 loan, because of the loan were going to have to take for October. That would be from October thru March. The other and the County was not, we need to send a request to them before we can get anything back. The one thing they didn't mention was when you look at the \$310,000.00 a month, there's also the road and bridge tax on there, which is generally specifically designated for use on roads. It's allocated for that and you can't really use it for anything else. So, to the extent that they are helping us with the streets, they did mention that they may consider keeping some of that, so that \$12,000.00 may be influx as well. So you might be looking at a real number, you take up the \$50,000.00 for the debt service plus another \$12,000, that's \$248,000.00 that may your real number at least through March. Tom, what are our currently monthly expenditures, 475?" Mr. Worrel said, "In total with payroll and everything, in that ball park yea." Mr. Yarborough "The reductions you're

looking at here save us how much in per month next fiscal month, each of them. Mr. Worrel "um...just, just over a million dollars, no I take that back, I'm sorry, it's just over \$600,000, no its not either, uh. (Loud rumblings from crowd) "Were going from about a \$998,000.00 over draft to last version I did which was \$458,000.00, so a little over \$500,000.00." Alderman Lafontaine asked again about the total of the monthly expense and the drop in expenses. Mr. Worrel "That's what were tryin to do." Mr. Yarborough said, "So you're saying this proposal is only going to save us \$45,000.00 a month?" Mr. Worrel said, "Till next year, yea." Mr. Yarborough said, "From 475?" Mr. Worrel "You gotta talk louder." Mr. Yarborough, "From 475?" Alderman Lafontaine said it was \$165,000.00 a month. Mr. Worrel "Okay". (Mr. Worrel said "Shane you'll have to speak up, I can't hear you) Alderman Lafontaine said it is \$165,000.00 for 12 months is \$1,980,000.00. Mr. Worrel "Okay, I haven't finished with 12. The last time I worked on it, none of this was done, so I can't compare this with the figures I have for 12 and give you." (Audience members yelling out) Mr. Yarborough asked for decorum, noting this is a public forum, but the Board must recognize you to speak. (Loud audience member escorted out of meeting applause from audience). Alderman Lafontaine asked the audience to give the Board the time to move through the budget and figure out the numbers. Mr. Yarborough added, "Tom let me ask this, we have a current monthly payroll of \$315,000.00, give or take? Mr. Worrel "Yea, yea, um hum." Mr. Yarborough "One proposal has us going down to \$195,000.00 a month so you're looking at \$120,000.00 potential savings there, is that right?" Mr. Worrel "Yea, your better at math than I am." Mr. Yarborough "The other one is and I'm sorry, I spoke the one that I was talking about 195 that's all in the number 4, so that s \$120,000 a month. We have a separate 3A which is the Mayor's proposal, which if you include within (inaudible) to the county Board of Supervisors, that would be a \$113,000.00 saving per month using the 294, I'm sorry using the hundred and eleven thousand. Is that a net savings Tom, or is there something reducing that savings." Mr. Worrel "I would hate to ask you to repeat that." Mr. Yarborough "On the savings, if you look at the monthly costs would you prorate it out and you look at what were spending now for personnel and what you're projecting to spend per month for personnel. Is that a net savings or is it reduced by some other number, whether it be unemployment or other things we will have to come out of pocket because of reductions in force?" Mr. Worrel "Again, Gary, I'm sorry I'm not, I'm not following you." Mrs. Planchard said the Board is referring to the monthly plot graph that he had given the Board. Mr. Yarborough "The reduction in monthly expenses (noise in microphone) "the reduction in personnel costs, going in the next fiscal year is that going to be reduced by unemployment or some other item that we have to pay out because of a lay off?" Mr. Worrel "Yes it is, yes." Mr. Yarborough "Do you know what those numbers are for each." Mr. Worrel "I... the last time I looked at 12 was almost a week ago, so I have not prepared either one of these versions, going forward "(Mr. Yarborough began speaking) "How much do we pay a month for unemployment per individual, 960? Mr. Worrel "The maximum, \$960, that's the maximum an individual can receive per month in unemployment." Mr. Yarborough "How many employees do we have being laid off in each proposal." Alderman Lafontaine discussed the amount of employees being released. Mr. Worrel "Draft 3 for the current fiscal year has retaining 4 Police Officers. So there's, I think the difference, I think is 13 Police Officers between the 2. You have." Mr. Yarborough "You talking... you have a little over \$10,000.00 per month for the PD in the Mayor's draft for unemployment. How many are, Fire Department, there is no actually being laid off? Completely laid off." Mr. Worrel "That's correct". Mr. Yarborough "Police Department there would be 4?" Mr. Worrel "4". Mr. Yarborough "So you're talking about another 3840 and then with Court Department, how many." Mr. Worrel "One and one in the Animal Shelter and I want to say 2 in the Utility Department" Mr. Yarborough said, "Utility Department is not General Fund, what we're talking here is General Fund numbers." Mr. Worrel "Yea, yea General Fund." Mr. Yarborough said, "So you're talking approximately \$16,000.00 under the Mayor's proposal and about \$5,500.00 on the Alderman's proposal for unemployment per month. Is there any other costs that applies to the reduction in force in either Aldermen's version or the Mayor's version" Mr. Worrel "No, not that I can think of." Mr. Yarborough "I know there's some initial vacation comp time that would affect this fiscal and not 2012. Is that right?" Mr. Worrel "Correct." Mr. Yarborough said, "So you have unemployment which we discussed, is there any other item affecting the net effect on the facing the reduction in force for personnel." Mr. Worrel said, "There may be some comp time." Mr. Yarborough "That's this fiscal year." Mr. Worrel said, "11 Fiscal Year".

Mr. Yarborough "That won't affect 2012." Mr. Worrel said, "No." Mr. Yarborough said, "So you're looking at (audio trouble). Alderman Kidd noted at \$15,000.00 difference. Mr. Yarborough added, "You net out that \$315,000.00 versus the 195 in the Aldermen's proposal, add in the \$5,500.00 for unemployment benefits, and you're looking at roughly a \$115,000.00 personnel savings per month under the Aldermen's proposal. Same under the Mayor's proposal, adding, if you add in the subsidy to the personnel costs you have \$204,000.00 plus unemployment. How long does unemployment run Tom, 6 months plus (all speaking at once) So we have another \$15,000 in there so you're looking at 220, so its \$95,000 net savings per month under 3A?" Alderman Lafontaine asked about the amount of comp time that has to be paid out. Mr. Worrel "to my knowledge it was only one employee that has comp time. With vacation the maximum they can take, the maximum allowed to get paid for is 56 hours." Mr. Yarborough said, "That's included within the amended 2010/2011 budget, that's why with the numbers, when you discuss the \$33,000.00 between 3A and 4 that, that the comp time is included in that. So that is all when you're looking at the 2010/2011 proposed amendment 3A and 4 you're looking at apples and apples. When you get to the comp time and the vacation time will already have been paid so that won't, on the 3A, affect the next fiscal year. So, your all clear...sorry, unemployment." Alderman Stahler asked about the issue with 2012 and what would be done at this meeting will affect 2012. She questioned \$570,000.00 as opposed to the comment of three hundred and something. Mr. Worrel "I said no. \$366,000.00 would be paid out to the County Sheriff's Office" the other "is for gasoline to maintain vehicles" Mr. Yarborough said, "we would have the responsibility on the proposed interlocal agreement to pay for Fuelman and currently that's budget for next fiscal year at \$60,000.00, plus if we did that pro-rata we would be obligated for August and September. The total is \$570,000.00 and it is what that option would be and it would be, it's Fuelman and its insurance on our vehicles, because we'll still have to insure the vehicles. I think that is the only 2 items. The actual budget for the Police Department would be \$570,000.00; \$366,000.00 of that would be paid to the County by us through the docket." Alderman Lafontaine questioned the amount to be paid by Waveland. Alderman Kidd stated the concerns regarding radar. Alderman Kidd asked about Public Works and the Street's Department and proposed cuts in this Department. Mr. Worrel said, "Okay, say again, I didn't hear you, there are 4 full-time positions being eliminated, 4 full time positions that are being eliminated. And the uh, same in both versions". Alderman Kidd asked about the \$618.00 difference. Mr. Worrel "Most of that would be the medical insurance". Mr. Yarborough: "One is 50% contribution and the other is 25% contribution. (Inaudible discussion) Mr. Worrel "Yes." Mrs. Planchard also agreed. Alderman Stahler asked about \$129,000.00. Mr. Worrel "That was the transfer that at the General Fund made to the uh, Utility Fund." Alderman Lafontaine asked when this happened. Mr. Worrel "There was I think, a total of 3 transfers that were made early in the fiscal year that total that 129, I can't remember the exact months. Correct, we haven't made a transfer to the Public Works in I think 3 months. Mr. Yarborough "What is the current surplus being obtained in the Utility Department, per month"? Mr. Worrel "It was about \$13,000 dollars, but it's dropping a little. Uh, now that we've started reading meters, uh, it should go back up to uh 13 or 14 thousand dollars. Mr. Yarborough "And we have one employee though we've changed into that Department which will reduce the surplus under the proposal, is that right"? Mr. Worrel said, "Yes, yea". Mr. Yarborough said, "So that will be \$9,000 or so a month for this, 9,500." Mr. Worrel "Yes". Alderman Stahler questioned version 3a. Alderman Lafontaine asked about the Revenue Recreational and NTF Funds and the effect on the proposals on those funds. Mr. Worrel said, "No Sir, no. The money, all the funding that comes in from this fund comes from Federal sources and uh, the uh expenses that all relate to uh Law Enforcement and no money has been used out of this fund for uh probably 2 months. We haven't made a disbursement out of this fund." (Pause in meeting, Aldermen in discussion). Mr. Yarborough said, "Personnel, is there any saving other than Personnel in either proposal: In the Mayors there will be some reduction of some of used some equipment, but yes, as far as the Police Department." Mr. Worrel said, "Yes, that, that, no. That's basically it". Mr. Yarborough "You know what, what is that. The Fuelman will still be docket and insurance will still be. So we'll still the \$204,000.00 in addition to the \$366,000.00 that goes to the County that we would still have to pay. Other than that, the other items in that budget have been reduced in the P.D." Mr. Worrel said, "There is some small supplies expense, uh but that's, that's." Mr. Yarborough said, "But the number's 570". Mr. Worrel "Yea, uh hum". Mr. Yarborough "Okay so there's nothing besides the 570 in

a". Alderman Kidd asked for clarity if the only difference is the reduction of insurance. Mr. Yarborough "Yea, no, I, yea, that's rights. That's included. When you say personnel costs, that includes benefits and everything." Mr. Worrel "That includes benefits and everything, that". Mr. Yarborough "So that's in your 195 number. Is that the only difference other than personnel between the 2 proposals. Just the Police Department supply differential". Mr. Worrel, It, it's minimal supplies". Mr. Yarborough "When you say". Mr. Worrel replied, "Between the 2 proposals". Mr. Yarborough said, "Minimal in supplies in other Departments, not the P.D. When you say minimal, what do you mean minimal a couple thousand, ten thousand". Mr. Worrel said, "No, no, uh maybe \$200.00". Mr. Yarborough said, "Per month". Mr. Worrel said, "No, the rest of the fiscal year." Mr. Yarborough, "And, okay, when I say, you said for this fiscal year or next fiscal year?" Mr. Worrel "No, talking about 2011." Mr. Yarborough "What about 2012?" Mr. Worrel "2012, the difference in operating supplies would probably be maybe in uh maybe \$6,500.00, \$7,500.00 for the year. We still have to buy our tickets and that things for the uh, checks Officers that were working for the City. Mr. Yarborough "My question is, is that already included (inaudible) summary?" Mr. Worrel "Yes, yes." Mr. Yarborough "Okay, what I'm talking about is there any other supplies, I guess what I'm asking is the rest of the budget personnel cuts or is there something else that we are getting a net reduction in expenditures whether it's supplies. Insurance is included in personnel. I'm talking about any other operating expenses." Mr. Worrel said, "Going forward yes. We are trying to um, uh, reduce the number of copiers that we have. Uh that's the example. Reduce the number of cell phones and." Mr. Yarborough "Okay, would that be in both proposals?" Mr. Worrel "That would be in both proposals." Mr. Yarborough "Is there anything else that actually is different between the proposals other than the Police Department?" Alderman Lafontaine asked Mr. Worrel if there was an inclusion of the issues for the Pier? Mr. Worrel "That would be, would be in next year's budget. Yes Sir." Mr. Yarborough "Okay Tom, we have (that's what I'm going through with him) On the Police Department General Budget, with all the line items, categories 410 through 491 aren't different between either? Those are all included in Personnel. What I'm looking at is operating cost in the P.D. Okay? Are you on that page?" Mr. Worrel "Yea I am now". Mr. Yarborough "Okay. So the office supplies would be included within the Aldermen's proposal. That's fourteen thousand two for this year's budget. So you're looking at \$1,200.00 a month." Mr. Worrel "Yes." Mr. Yarborough "Okay. Jail use fees \$400.00 a month; oh I'm sorry that's operating supplies. So, \$400.00. So it's \$1,600.00 a month. Telephone, that's currently \$18,000.00. Would that remain the same or differ on the Alderman's proposal, probably slightly less." Mr. Worrel "It would probably be slightly less. Also, the operating supplies that you just talked about... \$4,600.00, those are administrative supplies. So we would probably not incur that expense." Mr. Yarborough "So you have the \$1,200.00 plus \$1,500.00 a month for the telephones, max. You have insurance which goes on both so that's not a difference. You have Utilities which I (inaudible, audience noise). Utilities would have to be in both. R&M other: \$700-3,000 for communications "10,400 for costs, so that comes to \$14,000; \$1,200 a month. Plus dues is a \$100.00 a month pro-rata. Court administration fees is \$3,100.00 total. (Mr. Yarborough adding totals). Then the other salaries and officers and workers comp. We've already talked about that. Gas and oil is already included. That's not a difference. Uniforms, you gotta a \$200.00 budget. Training, drug testing and arming vehicles, so those last 4 items total \$13,800. So you have \$1,150.00 a month for that one. Yea, so that way you divide a pro-rata. It's \$1,150 a month. (Mr. Yarborough adding numbers aloud). The difference of operating cost is \$5,400.00 plus operating costs at the bottom is \$120,000.00 which is, that's \$10,000.00 a month under the Aldermen's proposal. So you have about \$16,000.00 difference per month in operating costs. Is there any other items that's different in between the Aldermen's and the Mayor's. Mr. Worrel "You mentioned drug testing. We probably wouldn't be doing that. Mr. Yarborough "Okay, probably will or won't." Mr. Worrel "Would not." Mr. Yarborough "We could still budget something for it." Mr. Worrel "I don't see anything else that you hadn't already called out". Mr. Yarborough "So you're talking about \$16,000.00 a month operating costs difference between the two. If we would still employ 8 full time Officers. So you have, if you just tacked that on to the personnel costs you're looking at the 220 and about the 219 for the Mayor's." Per month. (Discussion among Aldermen) Mr. Yarborough "So if you're looking at \$220,000.00 a month for those items which is your personnel. I'm gonna use 220 cause it's round and its (inaudible). You have... my math you have 310 projected and you have to separate

\$55,000.00 approximately for, to set aside the loan reimbursement in March. From now til March you're probably looking at, well there's a question about the road and sales. So you might be looking at \$70,000.00. You're looking at probably operating costs of \$240-\$245,000.00 is what you're looking at through March. Yea, regardless of the proposal, we're talking about revenues and dispose of. We have about \$95,000.00 savings per month in each. So you're looking at \$380,000.00. So you have a \$140,000.00 difference. And I'm, were just talking. We have in each proposal. We have to find a way to operate within; we have to be able to pay back the note. We also have that are listed on there, percentage pay cuts; there will be across the board for remaining employees. Aldermen asked if that would go into the next budget. Mr. Yarborough "The issue with pay cuts, that's not a result in unemployment. You have a net savings now. Right now you don't have a net savings. (TAPE 2 SIDE A - OLD TAPE INAUDIBLE) **Begin tape 3 discussion completed**

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **POLICE DEPARTMENT/PERSONNEL**

##### **Re: Approve Kenny Hurt as Police Chief**

Alderman Lafontaine moved, seconded by Alderman Kidd to approve the Mayor's appointment of Kenny Hurt as Police Chief, at an annual salary of \$50,000.00.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **DOCKET OF CLAIMS**

##### **Re: Highlighted proposed Docket Schedule for 8/17/2011**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to approve Payment of Highlighted Invoices listed on Official Proposed Docket schedule, as proposed by Comptroller Tom Worrel, and submitted by the City Clerk. **(EXHIBIT C)**

Mr. Yarborough said, "Tom if you don't mind. The, I know you stated before on the record how much is the proposed out-of-pocket from the City on the items for (inaudible). Mr. Worrel "It is a \$184, 199.08." Mr. Yarborough "The, how much, you gave me a sheet and I'm going to ask you. The sheet you gave me dated 8/17/2011. Madame Clerk I'm going to hand you this and ask that you put this in the record. I don't know if you have that sheet in front of you, Tom. If you can grab that if you don't mind. It has a General Fund net cash balance in the amount of \$378,424.94. Mr. Worrel "That's correct." Mr. Yarborough "That is unrestricted money." Mr. Worrel answered, "Unrestricted money." Mr. Yarborough "The, on the sheet there is Pier and Recreation with the separate tax fund is \$15,331.00 in that cash balance, the Library fund of \$5,377.00, Bonding and Interest of \$12,905.00 and there's a Recovery \$25,487.96. So your statement to the Board that they have cash in the bank to pay the amount that your suggesting that they pay on this docket. Mr. Worrel "That is correct yes." Mr. Yarborough said, "The, does that \$378,000.00 include any. That is after the payment of the most recent mid-month general payroll? Mr. Worrel said, "Yes." Mr. Yarborough said, "The next payroll would be this coming Friday to the Fire Department, this coming weekend, I'm sorry and then next Monday we will have one to the P.D." Mr. Worrel said, "Correct." Mr. Yarborough, "And then we will not have another payroll except for one \$12,000.00 Fire Department before September 1, 2011 General Payroll. Mr. Worrel said,

“Yes.” Mr. Yarborough said, “Okay. Between now and September 1 we will receive about \$12 to \$15,000 in ad-valorem. Mr. Worrel “Yes, I think it going to be a little bit higher than that but that’s an extremely conservative figure, yes.” Mr. Yarborough said, “Okay, so you’re telling the Board, if they pay the docket. They will have sufficient funds to make payroll through September 1.” Mr. Worrel said, “Correct.” Mr. Yarborough said, “Through September 1, including that General payroll of \$86,000.00 on September 1.” Mr. Worrel said, “Yes.” (Mr. Yarborough adding budget figures out loud) Mr. Yarborough said, “So you’re saying about \$47,000.00 after those, plus we’ll get the ad valorem.” Mr. Worrel said, “Yes.” Mr. Yarborough said, “Madame Clerk if you can attach that to the record.”

Mayor Pro-Tem Geoffrey thanked Mr. Worrel.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **RSVP**

##### **Re: Claims**

Alderman Lafontaine moved, seconded by Alderman Kidd to approve the RSVP Claims. **(EXHIBIT D)**

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **RESOLUTIONS**

##### **Re: A Resolution requesting passage of a certain local and private act- add 1% sales tax**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Kidd to include a Resolution by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen requesting drafting introduction and passage of a certain local and private act (adding a 1% sales tax). **(EXHIBIT E)**

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

#### **UTILITY DEPARTMENT**

##### **Re: Utility refund to Mrs. Stephanie McConnell in the amount of \$61.05**

Alderman Lafontaine moved seconded by Alderman Kidd to approve utility refund (because of overpayment) in the amount of \$61.05 to Mrs. Stephanie McConnell. **\*The Board voted and later determined that this action was not needed because the claim was paid on the current docket to Mr. McConnell for the same claim. Vote was agreed to be rescinded.**

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**TIDELANDS FUNDS/PARKING BAYS**

**Re: Mr. Mickey Lagasse with Compton Engineering was present to discuss proposals for the installation of parking bays on Beach Boulevard**

Mr. Mickey Lagasse with Compton Engineering was present to discuss proposals for installation of Parking Bays along the north side of Beach Blvd; selected streets (Sears Ave., Nicholson Ave., Bienville Ave., Oak, Lafitte Dr., Waveland Ave. - Tidelands Funds will pay. The Board agreed to allow Mr. Lagasse to prioritize and determine whether east, west or both sides of the street should be considered.

**INSURANCE/PROPERTY AND WIND POLICY**

**Re: Renewal of Policy effective August 24, 2011 to August 24, 2012**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to approve Fox Everett Proposal for the Property/Wind Policy Renewal effective August 24, 2011 to August 24, 2012 for items 1-59B, remove items 60-64 until those buildings come on line. (Note: at subsequent special meeting, the Board approved the schedule including items 1-64).  
**(EXHIBIT F)**

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**CONTRACTS/AGREEMENTS/WEST JEFF DAVIS AVENUE SEWER IMPROVEMENTS/EAST JEFF DAVIS AVENUE SEWER IMPROVEMENTS/JEFF DAVIS AVENUE CONNECTOR SEWERS AND PAVING/GULFSIDE STREET SEWER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT**

**Re: Approval of Contracts**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to TABLE the following Engineering Agreements between the Digital Engineering and the City of Waveland for execution upon review of and approval by City Attorney, Gary Yarborough:

- a. West Jeff Davis Avenue Sewer Improvements.
- b. East Jeff Davis Avenue Sewer Improvements.
- c. Jeff Davis Avenue Connector Sewers and Paving.
- d. Gulfside Street Sewer Improvements Project.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**CIVIC CENTER, LIBRARY, FIRE STATION AND COLEMAN AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT/CITY HALL AND CITY HALL ANNEX/BUSINESS INCUBATOR/CENTRAL FIRE STATION/SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS WEST/HARBORLIGHTHOUSE AND BOAT LAUNCH/WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS ANNEXED AREA/DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT**

**Re: Approval of Invoices payable to Jimmy G. Gouras Urban Planning Consultants, Inc.**

Alderman Lafontaine moved, seconded by Alderman Kidd to approve the following invoices submitted by Jimmy G. Gouras Urban Planning Consultants, Inc. as recommended by Recovery Manager, Brent Anderson as listed (Items a-h), all on Current Docket.

- a. Invoice No. 9198 in the amount of \$3,400.00 – Civic Center, Library, Fire Station and Coleman Avenue.
- b. Invoice No. 9199 in the amount of \$2,663.76 – City Hall and City Hall Annex.
- c. Invoice No. 9200 in the amount of \$8,277.85 - Business Incubator
- d. Invoice No. 9201 in the amount of \$21,000.00 – Central Fire Station
- e. Invoice No. 9202 in the amount of \$23,956.68 – Sanitary Sewer System Improvements West.
- f. Invoice No. 9203 in the amount of \$2,500.00 –Harbor, Lighthouse and Boat Launch.
- g. Invoice No. 9204 in the amount of \$49,500.00- Water and Sewer System Improvements Annexed Area.
- h. Invoice No. 9205 in the amount of \$9,100.00 – Drainage Improvements Project

During discussion, City Attorney Yarborough asked Comptroller, Tom Worrel if the City had received money from MDA to pay all of these invoices. “Yes”, said Mr. Worrel.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**INVOICES/PEDESTRIAN PATHWAY/CENTRAL FIRE STATION/CITY HALL,  
CITY HALL ANNEX BUILDING, FIRE STATION/POLICE STATION  
Re: Payment of Invoices submitted by various contractors**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to approve the following invoices/pay requests as submitted by various contractors and recommended for payment by Recovery Manager, Brent Anderson, as listed (Items a-e):

- a. Pay Estimate No. 7 from David Rush Construction in the amount of \$110,763.94 – Waveland Pedestrian Pathway.
- b. Payment No. 15 from GM&R Construction in the amount of 196,551.00 – Central Fire Station. (On Current Docket)
- c. Invoice No. 12 from BDA, PLLC in the amount of \$13,356.01 – City Hall/City Hall Annex Building and Fire Station on Bourgeois. (On Current Docket)
- d. Payment Application No. 9 from DNP (\$409,400.08) – City Hall/City Hall Annex Building and Fire Station. (Only approve Partial Payment \$76,532.75 on Current Docket)
- e. Pay Request No. 13 from C. Perry Builders (\$188,890.40) - Police Station. (Only approve Partial Payment of \$29,213.73 on Current Docket)

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**ANIMAL SHELTER/PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**

**Re: Authorize Animal Control Officer Colin Freeman to sign Purchase Requisitions**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to authorize Colin Freeman, ACO to sign purchase requisitions for the necessities of the Animal Shelter, in conjunction with Director Dina Allen's resignation.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine, Pro-Tem Geoffrey voted yea to affirm the vote

Voting Nay: None

Abstain: Kidd

**COURT DEPARTMENT/TRAVEL**

**Re: Court Clerk Paula Fayard to attend MS Municipal Court Clerks Seminar in Jackson, MS**

Alderman Kidd moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to approve Court Clerk Paula Fayard to attend the MS. Municipal Court Clerks Statewide Seminar September 8-9, 2011 in Jackson, MS. at no cost to the City. Ms. Fayard will pay her own transportation, meals and lodging expenses.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**COMPTROLLER/CITY BOND AND INTEREST/PARKS AND RECREATION, LIBRARY/DISASTER RECOVERY**

**Re: Open 4 new bank accounts to provide for separation of funds**

Alderman Kidd moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to approve opening the following (4) new bank accounts to provide for separation of funds as requested by auditors: City Bond & Interest, Parks & Recreation, Library, and Disaster Recovery.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**CONTRACTS/MUNICIPAL BONDS**

**Re: Contract with Omnicap for mandatory Arbitrage Rebate Analysis**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to enter contract with Omnicap for mandatory Arbitrage Rebate Analysis Services regarding tax free treatment of Municipal Bonds.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**BUDGET MODIFICATIONS/DOWNTOWN SIDEWALK IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT**

**Re: Budget Modification #3 for Downtown Sidewalk Improvements Project**

Alderman Kidd moved, seconded by Alderman Stahler to approve Budget Modification #3, CDBG Project #R-109-379-03-KCR for the Downtown Sidewalk Improvements Project.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT/PERSONNEL/MISSISSIPPI ALABAMA SEA GRANT PROGRAM/HAZARD MITIGATION/WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS – ANNEXED AREA/CITYWIDE DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT/CENTRAL FIRE STATION/DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT/REQUESTS FOR CASH REIMBURSEMENTS**

Spread on the minutes, the following as listed (items a-g):

- a. The resignation of Mrs. Crystal Cato from the Public Works Department, effective August 17, 2011.
- b. The Gulf of Mexico Coastal Storms Program in collaboration with Mississippi Alabama Sea Grant Program Letter of Intent for participation in receiving Sea Grant funds to expand the City of Waveland Hazard Mitigation Plan.
- c. RFC# R-109-379-03-KCR in the amount of \$4,786.50 – Downtown Sidewalk Improvements Project.
- d. RFC# R-118-379-06-HCCR in the amount of \$24,579.90 – Water System Improvements – Annexed Area.
- e. RFC# R-118-379-07 HCCR in the amount of \$10,739.38 – Citywide Drainage Improvements Project.
- f. RFC# R-118-379-01-HCCR in the amount of \$177,349.00 – Central Fire Station
- g. RFC# R-118-379-07-HCCR in the amount of \$1,212.00 – Drainage Improvements

**ADJOURN**

**Re: Adjourn meeting at 11:54 p.m.**

Alderman Stahler moved, seconded by Alderman Lafontaine to adjourn the meeting at 11:54 p.m.

A vote was called for with the following results:

Voting Yea: Stahler, Lafontaine and Kidd

Voting Nay: None

Absent: None

The foregoing minutes were presented to Mayor Garcia on October 21, 2011.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa Planchard  
City Clerk

The Minutes of August 17, 2011 have been read and approved by me on this day the 21<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2011.

\_\_\_\_\_  
David A. Garcia,  
Mayor

**THIS**

**AREA LEFT**

**BLANK**

**INTENTIONALLY**